ANNUAL CCR SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION				
Facility Name:		Cleco Brame Energy Center		
Address:		275 Rodemacher R	275 Rodemacher Rd. Lena, LA	
Surface Impoundment Name :	Bottom Ash Pond	Owner:	Cleco Power LLC	
Surface Impoundment ID:	P-0005R1	Operator:	Cleco Power LLC	
Nearest City:	Boyce	Parish:	Rapides	
Inspector:		James C. Van Hoof, P.E.		
Company:		Providence Engineering & Environmental Group LLC		
Date of Inspection:		12/17/2020		
Weather at Time of Inspection:		Sunny, Cool		
DESCRIPTION OF THE OPERATION OF THE SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS:				

The Brame Energy Center's Bottom Ash and Fly Ash surface impoundments are designed to accept the coal combustion residual (CCR) byproducts derived from burning of the Unit 2 coal for the generation of electricity. The ponds are classified by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) as Type I Surface Impoundments. Water from the Fly Ash surface impoundment is pumped into the Bottom Ash impoundment which discharges by means of three pumps that discharge the wastewater through the outlet pipe on the western end of the pond. This water discharges into Lake Rodemacher via LPDES outfall 401, thence to Bayou Jean de Jean via LPDES outfall 001, then to the Red River. The minimum levee elevation for the Bottom Ash impoundment is 106 feet NAVD 88. To determine the maximum storage capacity, Providence assumed a freeboard of three feet to the top of the impoundment. The bottom elevation of the Bottom Ash Pond as noted in the solid waste permit application is 85 feet MSL. The maximum capacity of this impoundment, with a freeboard of three feet, is approximately 760.5 acre-feet.

The minimum levee elevation for the Fly Ash impoundment is 105 feet NAVD 88. The bottom elevation of the Fly Ash Pond as noted in the solid waste permit application is 85 feet MSL. The permitted capacity of this impoundment is 460.0 acre-feet.

GENERAL			
Owner Contact:	Elizabeth Lee	Phone:	318-793-1194
Plant Manager:	George Broussard	Phone:	318-793-1200
Dam Status:	Operational	Year Built:	1982
Latitude:	31° 23.83' N	Longitude:	92° 42.27' W
Dam Size:	760.5 Acre-Feet (3' Freeboard)		
Bottom of Pond Elevation Information:	85 ft. MSL	Top of Dike Elevation:	106 ft. NAVD 88
Low Operating Level Elevation:	90 ft. NAVD 88	High Operating Level Elevation:	96 ft. NAVD 88
High Operating Level Storage:	464.75 acre-feet @ elevation 96.0 ft. NAVD 88		
Maximum Storage:	760.5 acre feet @ elevation 103.0 ft. NAVD 88		
Maximum Surface Impoundment Area:	45.80 Acres		
Offsite Drainage Area:	Discharges to Lake Rodemacher via LPDES Outfall 401		
Spillway Type:	None, Pumped through HDPE discharge pipe		

QUESTIONS FOR OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE	
Construction Plans Available?	✓ Yes No
Site Facility Map Available?	✓ Yes No
Operations and Maintenance Manual Available?	✓ Yes No
Emergency Action Plan Available?	✓ Yes No
Recent Modification or Improvements?	None
Are Routine Inspections Completed?	✓ Yes 🗌 No
Is Routine Maintenance Completed?	✓ Yes 🗌 No
Is There Vehicle Access to the Pond?	✓ Yes No
Is Access Available During Heavy Rains?	✓ Yes No
Are Routine Inspection Logs Kept On-site?	✓ Yes No
Offsite Drainage Area:	Discharges to Lake Rodemacher via LPDES
	Outfall 401.
Spillway Type:	None, Pumped through discharge pipe.

PHYSICAL DAM FEATURES – RESERVOIR:		
Staff Gauge Type:	Level Gauge Indicator destroyed during Hurricane Laura.	
	Cleco in process of reinstalling.	
Staff Gauge Elevation at Time of Inspection:	~98.0 ft. NAVD 88	
Normal Operating Elevation:	92.0 ft. NAVD 88	
Typical Operation:	Discharges to Lake Rodemacher via LPDES Outfall 401.	
Are there any visible swirls?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Is there excessive CCR buildup in the surface impoundment?	Yes V No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Approximate volume of Impounded water at time of	595.40 acre-ft.	
inspection:		
Approximate volume of CCR at time of inspection:	150,000 cubic yards	
Findings:	The reservoir was inspected and appeared to be in	
	satisfactory condition.	
Other observations on the reservoir:	None	

PHYSICAL DAM FEATURES – INTAKE WORKS:		
Number of Intakes:	Five	
Description (1):	Primary Bottom Ash Sluice Pipe	
Size and Type:	12 Inch Steel Pipe	
Control:	Controlled by Pumps at Plant	
Can Flow be Shutoff or Bypassed:	✓ Yes □ No	
Description (2):	Secondary Bottom Ash Sluice Pipe	
Size and Type:	12 Inch HDPE Pipe	
Control:	Controlled by Pumps at Plant	
Can Flow be Shutoff or Bypassed:	Ves No	
Description (3):	Boiler Area Storm water Sump Pipe	
Size and Type:	12 Inch Steel Pipe	
Control:	Controlled by Pumps at Plant	
Can Flow be Shutoff or Bypassed:	✓ Yes No	
Description (4):	Fly Ash Discharge Pipe into Bottom Ash Pond	
Size and Type:	6 inch HDPE Pipe	
Control:	Controlled by Pump from Fly Ash Pond	
Can Flow be Shutoff or Bypassed:	Ves No	
Description (5):	Bottom Ash Sluice Trench Stormwater Pipe	
Size and Type:	24 inch corrugated metal pipe	
Control:	None	
Can Flow be Shutoff or Bypassed:	Yes 🗸 No	
Is the in-flow piping free of debris and otherwise	Ves No	
unobstructed?		
If no, describe (type of debris, reason for obstruction, etc.)		
Describe the quality of discharge from hydraulic structure	The inflowing water contains bottom ash which is sluiced	
(turbidity, depth, etc.)	out of solution. Also, Fly Ash storm water is pumped into	
	the Bottom Ash Pond.	
Findings:	The intake works were inspected and appeared to be in	
	satisfactory condition. No corrective actions are required	
	at this time.	
Other observations on the intake works:	None	

PHYSICAL DAM FEATURES – OUTLET WORKS:		
Number of Outlets:	One	
Outlets/Culvert Pipe Sizes:	12 Inches	
Type of Pipes:	HDPE that runs through 24 inch CMP	
Control:	Pump level controls	
Can Flow be Shutoff or Bypassed:	✓ Yes No	
Describe the overall condition of the hydraulic structure:	Functioning Normally	
(Check all that apply)	Not Functional	
	Deteriorated	
	Damaged	
	Adequate	
	Inadequate Other:(describe)	
Is there evidence of erosion around the hydraulic structure?	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.) Minor erosion at base of concrete structure needs to be corrected.		
Is the hydraulic structure outlet flowing freely and	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	
unobstructed?		
If no, describe (type of debris, reason for obstruction, etc.)		
Describe the quality of discharge from the hydraulic structure	The outflowing water is relatively clear and discharges to	
(turbidity, depth, etc.)	Lake Rodemacher via LPDES Outfall 401 which cycles	
	back to the plant.	
Findings:	The outet works were inspected and appeared to be in	
	satisfactory condition. Minor corrective actions are	
	required at this time to correct erosion issues.	
Other chase water and the suffet works:		
Other observations on the outlet works:	None	

SLOPE PROTECTION – EXTERIOR SLOPES:		
Describe the vegetation on the exterior slope: (Check all that	Recently Mowed	
apply)	Good Cover	
	Sparse	
	Other: (describe)	
Is there any erosion on the exterior slope?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Is there any erosion protection on the exterior slopes? (e.g.	Yes 🗸 No	
riprap, other)		
If yes, describe (riprap - adequate, inadequate, etc.)		
Are there any Crack/Rills Observed?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Are there any Sinkholes Observed?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Are there any trees on the slopes?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (type of vegetation, size, location, etc.)		
Findings:	The exterior slope was inspected and appeared to be in satisfactory condition. No corrective actions are required at this time.	
Other observations on the exterior slopes:	None	

SLOPE PROTECTION – INTERIOR SLOPES:		
Describe the vegetation on the interior slopes: (Check all that	Recently Mowed	
apply)	Good Cover	
	Sparse	
	Other: (describe)	
Is there any erosion on the interior slope?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Is there any erosion protection on the interior slopes? (e.g.	✓ Yes No	
riprap, other)		
If yes, describe what type and it's condition (riprap - adequate, inadequate, etc.) Riprap at 24" CMP storm water pipe outlet.		
Protection is adequate.		
Are there any Crack/Rills Observed?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Are there any Sinkholes Observed?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Findings:	The interior slope was inspected and appeared to be in	
	satisfactory condition	
Other observations on the interior slopes:	None.	

SLOPE PROTECTION – ABUTMENT/TOE:		
Describe the vegetation on the Abutment/Toe: (Check all that	Recently Mowed	
apply)	Good Cover	
	Sparse	
	Other: (describe)	
Is there any erosion on the abutment/toe?	Yes V No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Is there any erosion protection on the abutment/toe? (e.g.	Yes V No	
riprap, other)		
If yes, describe what type and it's condition (riprap - adequate, inadequate, etc.)		
Are there any Crack/Rills Observed?	Yes V No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Is there any Seepage Observed:	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Findings:	The abutment/toe was inspected and appeared to be in	
	satisfactory condition. No corrective actions are required	
	at this time.	
Other observations on the abutment/toe:	None	

SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT CREST:		
Describe the vegetation on the crest: (Check all that apply)	Recently Mowed	
	Good Cover	
	Sparse	
	✓ Other: (describe) Gravel	
Is there a road or driveway on the crest?	✓ Yes No	
If yes, describe (good condition, numerous cracks, etc.) Good Condition		
Are there any ruts, depressions, or holes on the crest?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Are there any cracks on the crest?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (length and width, location and direction of cracking, etc.)		
Are there any trees or other undesired vegetation on the	Yes 🗸 No	
crest?		
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Are there any sinkholes?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Findings:	The crest was inspected and appeared to be in	
	satisfactory condition. No corrective actions are required	
	at this time.	
Other observations on the crest:	None	

PHYSICAL DAM FEATURES – SPILLWAY:		
Туре:	None - Pumped through discharge pipe	
Slope Protection:	NA	
Approach:	NA	
Erosion:	NA	
Vegetation:	NA	
Findings:	NA	
Other observations on the spillway:	NA	

DOCUMENTATION REVIEW:		
Weekly Inspections Reviewed:	Ves No	
Findings: Feral hogs rutted topsoil on levees. Storm debris from Hurricane Laura removed from levees.		
Monthly Instrument Inspections Reviewed:	Ves No	
Findings: Level gauge leaning and then destroyed in Hurricane. New gauge to be installed.		
Groundwater Monitoring:	Monitoring wells are in-place.	
Drawings Reviewed:	Ves No	
Are there any changes in the geometry of the surface	Yes 🗸 No 🗌 NA	
impoundment structure since the previous		
inspection?		
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Other observations:	None	

	Cleco Power LLC
Site Name: Bra	me Energy Center – Bottom Ash Pond
Site Location: Ler	na, Rapides Parish, LA
Date: Dee	cember 17, 2020
Bottom Ash Pond	
Direction: Easterly	
Comments: Floating pump structure in Bottom Ash Pond.	
Bottom Ash Pond	
Direction: Southerly	
Comments: Western slope of interior levee.	12 17 2020

	Cleco Power LLC	CLECO
Site Name: B	Brame Energy Center – Bottom Ash Pond	
Site Location:	ena, Rapides Parish, LA	
Date: D	December 17, 2020	
Bottom Ash Pond		
Direction:		
Easterly		
Comments:		
Northern slope of internal levee.	12	17, 2020
Bottom Ash Pond		
Direction:		1
Easterly		
Comments:		
Crest of northern levee.		17-2020

	Cleco Power LLC	CLECO
Site Name: Bra	ame Energy Center – Bottom Ash Pond	
Site Location: Ler	na, Rapides Parish, LA	
Date: Dee	cember 17, 2020	
Bottom Ash Pond	AND A ADDRESS AND	
Direction:		
Southerly		
Comments:		
Inside slope of eastern levee.		1. 616
Bottom Ash Pond		
Direction:	approved the second second	
Easterly		
Comments: Area along the northern exterior levee showing where the feral hogs have recently rooted the topsoil.		17.2000

	Cleco Power LLC	CLECO
Site Name: E	Brame Energy Center – Bottom Ash Pond	
Site Location: L	₋ena, Rapides Parish, LA	
Date:	December 17, 2020	
Bottom Ash Pond		
Direction: Westerly		
Comments: Sluice pipe discharging into the Bottom Ash Pond.	12	17 2020
Bottom Ash Pond		
Direction:		
Northerly		
Comments:		
Storm water discharge pipe from sluice pipe trench.	12	17.2020


	Cleco Power LLC	CLECO
Site Name: Bi	rame Energy Center – Bottom Ash Pond	
Site Location: Le	ena, Rapides Parish, LA	
Date: D	ecember 17, 2020	
Bottom Ash Pond		
Direction:		
Easterly		
Comments:		and a second
Exterior slope of northern levee.	12 1	7 2020
Bottom Ash Pond		
Direction:		
Northerly		
Comments:		
Bottom ash shown in southeast corner of Bottom Ash Pond.		
	12.1	7.2020

	Cleco Power LLC
Site Name:	Brame Energy Center – Bottom Ash Pond
Site Location:	₋ena, Rapides Parish, LA
Date: [December 17, 2020
Bottom Ash Pond	
Direction: Westerly	
Comments: Interior slope of southern levee.	12, 17, 2020
Bottom Ash Pond Direction: Westerly	
Comments: Minor erosion at discharge structure needs to be corrected.	

BOTTOM ASH POND CCR ANNUAL INSPECTION

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I have inspected Cleco's Brame Energy Center Bottom Ash Pond in accordance with the Annual CCR Inspection requirements. This inspection has determined that the design, operation, and maintenance of the Bottom Ash Pond is in accordance with generally accepted engineering standards and are adequate for the facility.



This inspection was conducted to assess the general overall condition of the reservoir/dam, identify visible deficiencies, and recommend areas for monitoring, and corrective actions. The inspection is based only on visible features/areas of the dam on the day of inspection. The owner should verify the findings of this report and take corrective actions. This inspection does not relieve the owner/operator from their responsibility to conduct routine inspections, maintenance, repairs, modifications, monitoring, and documentation.